| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK   |   |
|---|---|
| LYNDA G. DODD,  Plaintiff,  | -X<br>:<br>: 17 Civ. 9932 (PAE)<br>:<br>: ORDER |
| -V-   | :<br>:  |
| CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, VINCENT BOUDREAU, BRUCE CRONIN, PAUL OCCHIOGROSSO, MARY ERINA DRISCOLL, KEVIN FOSTER, JOHN KRINSKY, RAJAN MENON, and VIVIEN TARTTER, | :         |
| Defendants.   | :<br>:<br>:<br>Y                                |
|   | -/ <b>\</b>                                     |

## PAUL A. ENGELMAYER, District Judge:

On November 22, 2019, plaintiff sent the Court a letter requesting permission to file, in connection with her opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment: (i) certain exhibits entirely under seal, (ii) certain exhibits and deposition transcripts both under seal and with redactions, and (iii) her memorandum of law and Rule 56.1 counterstatement of facts both under seal and with redactions.

As to the first category of documents—the documents to be filed entirely under seal—the Court denies, without prejudice, plaintiff's request. As plaintiff notes, "a strong presumption of access attaches" to these documents. *Ashmore v. CGI Grp. Inc.*, 138 F. Supp. 3d 329, 351 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) (citation omitted). Upon review of the exhibits requested to be filed under seal, many, if not all, of the documents contain portions that could be publicly filed. For example, the document labeled Exhibit 29 contains an academic's curriculum vitae, which appears to include

entirely public information, followed by letters of evaluation, which contain information that

might be properly redacted in this litigation.

The Court accordingly directs plaintiff to make a good-faith effort to propose, via email,

narrowly tailored redactions to the exhibits she sought to file entirely under seal by November

26, 2019. Once the Court reviews and approves of those proposed redactions, defendants may

file the unredacted versions under seal and the redacted versions on ECF.

As to the second and third categories of documents—the documents proposed to be filed

with redactions—the Court has reviewed and approves of the proposed redactions. Plaintiff's

request with regard to those documents is therefore granted.

SO ORDERED.

Paul A. Eygloger
Paul A. Engelmayer

United States District Judge

Dated: November 25, 2019

New York, New York

2